

Southwater Parish Council



Draft Planning Committee Meeting Minutes

Wednesday 6th June, 2018, 7.30pm
Beeson House
Lintot Square
Southwater
Horsham
RH13 9LA

Committee Members

Cllr Graham Watkins (Chairman)
Cllr Neil Whitear (Vice Chairman)
Cllr Geoff Cole
Cllr Joy Hutchings
Cllr Grant McGill
Cllr Derek Moore
Cllr Michael Neale
Cllr Geoff Scoon
Cllr Hayley Timson
Cllr Barbara Varley



SOUTHWATER PARISH COUNCIL

Beeson House,
26 Lintot Square,
Fairbank Road,
Southwater,
West Sussex
RH13 9LA



Clerk: **Mrs.C.M.Tobin**
Cert.Ed.L.Pol.FILCM.,

Telephone No: 01403 733202 Fax: 01403 732420
Email: Catherine.Tobin@southwater-pc.gov.uk
Community Web Site: www.southwater-pc.gov.uk

DRAFT MINUTES

The Minutes of the PLANNING COMMITTEE meeting held on Wednesday, 6th June, 2018 in the Council Chamber, Beeson House, Southwater, West Sussex, RH13 9LA commencing at 7.30pm.

Chairman: Cllr G Watkins

Cllr G Cole
Cllr J Hutchings
Cllr M Neale
Cllr B Varley

Cllr R.Dye
Cllr D Moore
Cllr G Scoon
Cllr N Whitear

Clerk to the Meeting: Mrs C.M.Tobin

County Councillor: Cllr N.Jupp

District Councillors: Cllr C.Vickers

Press: Not present

Members of the Public: 6

P26/06/18 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

The Chairman asked those present to turn mobile phones to silent for the duration of the meeting, unless the phone call was deemed urgent in which case phone calls could be received with permission from the Chairman. In the event of a fire alarm sounding, everyone would be directed to evacuate the building via the exits indicated.

The Chairman advised that the meeting was being recorded in accordance with Standing Orders for minute purposes. The Chairman asked members of the public if they intended to record the meeting and received a negative reply.

No members of the public wished to address the Council on this occasion.

The Chairman indicated that a Member of Public of Oliver Road, Horsham wished to speak in relation to application DC/18/0944 he had provided statement. The Chairman stated that he would take this prior to the actual application being discussed.

P27/06/18 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence was received from Cllrs McGill, Timson. The Clerk stated that Cllr Timson having been absent had not indicated whether she was available for the Committee. Cllr Dye had two plans and although not now on the planning committee wished to report back.

Councillors NOTED and APPROVED the absence.

P28/06/18 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The Clerk referred Members of Council to information issued in relation to the Member's Code of Conduct and Standing Orders and asked whether any Member had anything of note to declare at this point in the meeting.

There were no declarations of interest made.

P29/06/18 MINUTES

It was proposed by Cllr G.Cole, and seconded by Cllr M.Neale and RESOLVED by all present that the Minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on Wednesday 2nd May, 2018 be accepted as a true and correct record of the meeting.

For ease of reading the Minutes at this point it was proposed by Cllr Watkins, seconded by Cllr Whitear and approved by all present that Agenda Reference 11 would be taken at this point. The reason for this being that the County Councillor Nigel Jupp had an appointment elsewhere at which he was expected.

P30/06/18 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman stated that he would like to thank Cllr Vickers and fellow District Councillors for their assistance in relation to the refusal of 20 Abbotsleigh; this had been recommended by officers for approval, however the Planning North Committee majority had refused the application. He cautioned that the applicant could still appeal the decision.

The Chairman also reminded those present that the Parish Council were to hold its first surgery on Saturday 9th June between 10am – 11am in Beeson House, and would welcome members of the public who wished to speak to councillors to do so; these meetings were less restrictive than a formal Parish Council meeting.

Councillors NOTED the Chairman's comments.

P31/06/18 CORRESPONDENCE

The Clerk referred to correspondence received from the Chief Executive Horsham District Council in relation to a matter at Land West of Blakes Farm Cottage and the removal of the bund. This letter had been circulated on the 1st June to all Councillors.

Councillors NOTED this point.

**P32/06/06 HORSHAM DISTRICT COUNCIL – ISSUES AND OPTIONS –
EMPLOYMENT, TOURISM AND SUSTAINABLE RURAL
DEVELOPMENT (LOCAL PLAN REVIEW)**

The Clerk reported that this review related to the built up area boundaries and supplementary area boundaries, key employment areas and tourism.

Southwater Built Up Area Boundary has been expanded by SW4 and SW1 & SW2. SW4 is the area within Shipley Parish currently being developed by Miller/Wates. The Clerk has questioned this and apparently it is appropriate for the BUAB not to necessarily reflect parish boundaries. Council have already asked that we seek a boundary change in relation to this parish boundary. SW1 and 2 relates to housing to the north of the village adjacent to the Copse with possible infill. In relation to the Copse site only the house and its garden has been identified not the additional land owned by the property. In terms of Supplementary Built Up Area Boundaries, Tower Hill has been identified within the document and should be given consideration.

In terms of the economic development position, the land adjacent to Hop Oast has been identified; this would provide opportunities to development and grow the local economy providing jobs etc., for local people and is deemed suitable for inclusion in the consultation.

There is no mention of Christ's Hospital at this point and the Clerk asked whether the Council would like to ensure that the BUAB for Christ's Hospital is reviewed or not.

The Clerk asked Members for their views in relation to the consultation; the Council have been allowed a slight extension due to the fact that the Clerk had been absent.

The Chairman stated that the Pre-Application Committee were to hold a meeting with the developer of The Copse the next day, presumably to discuss a further application the previous one being objected too. Cllr Scoon asked whether the areas were being reclassified and referred to the application to be held later in the meeting by Thankeham Homes. Cllr Vickers stated that this was purely speculative.

At this point the District Councillor present, Cllr Claire Vickers having caught the Chairman's eye addressed the Committee stating that the Inspector had asked that the District Council to review the Local Plan within three years and this document was part of that process. Where you would have secondary boundary status this would put pressure on those within those areas from development.

The Chairman indicated that the Neighbourhood Plan would be discussing these proposed settlement boundaries the next week. Cllr Vickers stated that the District Council had currently a five-year land supply of 116% and was therefore meeting its targets.

Cllr Vickers was asked whether the Government's Heathrow decision would increase the pressure on Horsham District, the response being that she did not believe that it would had it been Gatwick then this would change considerably.

The Chairman indicated that through the Neighbourhood Plan process, the Parish Council and Neighbourhood Plan Committee had a duty to co-operate with Neighbouring parishes; these consultations have and would continue to take place.

The Clerk indicated that the Neighbourhood Plan process did not just look at housing development but also the economic growth for the Parish; this was just one of a number of potential sites for small light industrial units. A member questioned access, but was advised that it was the land being discussed other such matters would follow should an application come forward.

The Clerk was asked to respond to the Consultation in that the Committee would have no major objections to the proposals contained within the document including the proposal of the site at Hop Oast for light industrial. The Committee would like to know whether there is anything of significance being proposed for Christ's Hospital which is not mentioned at all within the document.

P33/06/18 BERKELEY HOMES

The Chairman informed Members that a meeting had been held with Berkeley's in relation to the proposed additional play area for the village hall and the car park as provided for within the Section 106 Agreement. The discussion with the Parish Council was due to the fact that the Council is Custodian Trustee of the Village Hall. It was noted that the land registry documents had been changed to a differing address from the Parish Council; the Clerk has now written to land registry rectifying this matter; a copy was sent to the Village Hall Management Committee.

The Chairman stated that Berkeley's would be looking to submit a planning application in relation to the proposals in the near future. Discussions had also taken place with the Village Hall Management Committee. Whilst Berkeley's confirmed that they would be providing the car park and that this would be laid out accordingly, it was not obliged as per the Section 106 Agreement to provide for any play equipment. The Chairman stated that he believed that the Chairman of the Village Hall Management Committee is of the opinion that it would be provided.

Members NOTED the information and forthcoming planning application.

Church Lane Realignment and Development Phase

The Chairman indicated that she had received information regarding the next phase of the development in relation to the naming of the roads/streets; these being names identified from the War Memorial in Southwater. The names chosen to be Bennett Way, Balchin Gardens, and two other names. The Council is being asked which of the remaining names would they prefer eg., Bennett, Burgess, Custance, Fuggles, Gratwicke, Hide, Howell, Laker, Lewry, Mitchell, Owen Parson, Roberts, Rush, Sayers, Simmons, Whitner and Willis.

Secondly, as Members were aware there will be a realignment of Church Lane to the top bisected by Kenset Avenue thus creating two sections within the current road. As the two sections will not be in alignment with each other, the question is whether Council would like to rename the upper section to differentiate the post addresses of the properties in this section of the road. It is felt that there may be confusion with regard to people trying to find addresses in the road particularly the western section.

The Planning Committee APPROVED that the Clerk contact the District Council officer and advise that the next two War Memorial names should be taken in alphabetical order if acceptable to Berkeley's, and that the Parish Council would wish to retain the name of Church Lane and not have to differentiating names as proposed.

P34/06//18 MILLER HOMES

The Chairman informed Members that no meetings had taken place in the last month and it was anticipated that a meeting would be scheduled soon. The Clerk would be making contact to recommence meetings with this developer. Members expressed continued concerns regarding water/drainage issues which would only enhance problems in Roman Lane.

Members NOTED the information in that the Clerk would recommence meetings with this Developer.

P35/06/18 HIGHWAYS, DRAINAGE, STREET LIGHTING, STREET NAMES, VERGES, FOOTPATHS AND RIGHTS OF WAY

This item was brought forward by the agreement of the Committee after Agenda Item No. 4.

Worthing Road

County Councillor Nigel Jupp thanked the Committee for allowing him to speak at this juncture; he was due to attend the Nuthurst Parish Council meeting this being the neighbouring parish to Southwater.

He had been approached by local residents and others who worked locally to look at the principal of removing the two pinch-points which remained, one being south of the Infant School the other, being south of the Hen & Chicken public house. He had held a site meeting with local residents to gauge their opinions, there had been over 300 postings on the Southwater Village Community website with 75/80% of those having been in contact in favour of removing these. The removal of these would be an expense and these would have to be replaced by something else but before going into too much detail he would like to have the Committee's views on the matter.

During a length debate on whether to remove or not, various factors were brought to the attention of the County Councillor which would be of concern.

One of these being that if the pinch point to the south of the school were removed, it would have to be replaced with something; suggestions being a variable speed camera monitoring device, the raising of the two pedestrian crossings, making one of these a Pelican crossing. Members were not in favour of speed cushions which generally did little to curb speeds. Another suggestion was a 20mph speed restriction from the bottom of Church Lane through to the Cedar Drive roundabout.

Cllr Jupp stated that if there was a genuine case for the removal it may be that these could be funded through the County Council Community Infrastructure, of which the County was allocated 20% with 80% contribution to the District Council.

A general discussion then ensued about the 30mph limit and the application put forward by the Parish Council some years previously. The Clerk confirmed that the application had been lodged, but believed that in 2016 the Cabinet Member for Highways revaluated the community list and due to budget constraints this and other community projects elsewhere were cut from the list. The Clerk has identified that the Council can reapply, but that funds were restricted further.

The Clerk informed Members that this matter was one for the Highways Authority who would make the ultimate decision as to whether it remains or not. The history however, was that due to the number of accidents at the schools these pinch points were originally put in place. Following a review approximately one year ago following the roadworks carried out by Berkeley's the County Council officers reviewed and removed the northern pinch point by the Junior School. The previous Highways Officer, felt that the southern pinch-point should remain as it was effective in preventing collisions.

Cllr Watkins suggest that it would be interesting to have the County Council's officers viewpoint. Cllr Cole stated that there had been a Road Safety Audit completed when the pinch point to the north of the Junior School had been removed.

There was concern raised about the nitrogen oxide levels which the congestion at the pinch point by the school might cause.

Cllr Dye stated that the Clerk was correct, and that the whole Election debate years before had been on that very issue and he believed that Cllr Brad Watkins the previous County Councillor had looked into this very closely but that the advice was that if these were reducing the number of accidents and were removed and accidents occurred then there was possible litigation emanating from an accident could take place.

The Chairman asked that the County Councillor seek further information and advice from his officers and advise the Parish Council as to what these views were prior to making a formal decision either way.

The County Councillor, Nigel Jupp would obtain further information and advice from County Council officers and advise the Parish Council as to what proposals could be presented to the community.

The Clerk was asked to pursue at some point the 30 mph speed limit with the County Council.

Public Rights of Way – Highways Act 1980 Section 118B Highways Act 1989 – Section 119B WSCC – Diversion Order 2016 and Special Extinguishment Order for Certain Highways

The Clerk believed that Member's had received during her absence information about a Hearing in relation to the Christ's Hospital proposal to divert a footway crossing

their land. Further documentation has been received by the Inspector from (Open Spaces) (Agent for the Applicant), (British Horse Society) and another.

The Clerk enquired whether it was the intention of the Committee that someone from the Parish Council attends the Hearing?

The Chairman stated that the Parish Council had decided that it would not send a representative to any hearing.

Councillors NOTED the information provided by the Inspectorate.

P36/06/18 NEW PLANNING APPLICATIONS

It was agreed by all present that this matter be taken prior to the first application on the agenda, having suspended standing orders to allow a member of the public to speak.

As a resident of Horsham, I have an overarching concern that this may be a development too far. The development seeks to extend the built up area of Horsham beyond Horsham Town's own boundaries and into a greenfield area that is on the norther extremity of Southwater Parish Council.

The Horsham District Planning Framework (HDPF) published in November 2015, sets out the Planning Strategy for Horsham District until 2031. Much of the housing requirements are to be met by homes already built in 2011, plans already submitted and accepted, or by the major developments North of Horsham, west of Southwater and south of Billingshurst.

Other large scale developments are not specifically mentioned but the planning requirements for such new proposals are, and it is my contention that the Plans as presented for the Tower Hill site south of Horsham fails to meet the criteria and requirements of the HDPF.

Policy 2 of the HDPF outlines the principle of sustainable development which seeks to retain the existing settlement pattern over the plan period (to 2031) in order to protect the rural character and landscape.

The proposed site is within the Southwater Parish, and as such is outside of Horsham's Built Up Area Boundary.

In accordance with Policy 4 of the HDPF, the site is not allocated in Southwater Parish Council's Neighbourhood Plan and does not adjoin an existing settlement within the Southwater Parish. It cannot therefore be argued the development meets the identified local housing needs of Southwater, which in any event are being met by the substantial developments currently being built west of Southwater.

For the same reason the development at Tower Hill does not justify the requirements of Policy 17 of the HDPF, which allows for the release of greenfield sites only in exceptional circumstances, where there is an exceptional need in the Parish or where development would solely meet the needs of the Parish. Paragraphs 4/6 and 4/8 of

the HDPF seeks to ensure development takes place in a manner that ensures that the settlement pattern and rural landscape character of the District is retained and enhanced.

The mechanism by which this will be achieved is through the designation of Built Up Area Boundaries. Within Built Up Area Boundaries, development is accepted in principle, whereas land outside these boundaries is considered to be in the countryside and development will be more strictly controlled. Para 4.8 states that the priority will be to locate appropriate development including in filling, redevelopment and conversion within built up area boundaries.

Although I do not believe, the site at Tower Hill is regarded as a designated protected landscape, Para 9.5 of the HDPF confirms there is only limited capacity for development (in the District) due to its rural and relatively unspoilt qualities. The landscape in some areas act as an important visual break, separating the smaller and larger settlements in this case Horsham and Tower Hill.

Policy 33 seeks to conserve and enhanced the natural and built environment. New developments are required to ensure that developments are designed to avoid unacceptable harm to the amenity of occupiers and users of nearby property and land, for example through overlooking or noise, having regard to the sensitivities of surrounding development.

The design and layout must relate sympathetically with the built surroundings, landscape, open spaces and routes within and adjoining the site, including any impact on the skyline and important views. It is difficult to see how any design on this greenfield sit, can be permitted to place three storey high blocks of flats on the northern edge of this development thus impacting the skyline and views from adjoining properties, and indeed overlooking adjoining properties. A height limit should be imposed (limit to two storey buildings).

The resident stated that his comments reinforced the argument that the District Council's own policies serve to protect Tower Hill from any development and that the concerns over the environmental, infrastructure (lack of) and road safety impact will be listened to and acted upon by our Council.

The Chairman of the Planning Committee then thanked the resident for his comments adding that other comments had been received of a similar nature from Horsham Denne Neighbourhood Council and residents.

Standing Orders were then reinstated.

The Chairman advised Members that having looked at this plan in quite some details he felt that the Council should strongly object on the following grounds:-

- That the plan was contrary to the Horsham District Planning Framework documents
- Was outside the built up area of Southwater and outside the Horsham built up area
- The plan was for mostly flats 35% being affordable
- Horsham District Council currently have a five-year land supply

- The HDC SHEELA classified the site as available, it was not considered developable this being similar to the Wates site in Southwater which was latterly developed
- Is contrary to the fact that the HDPF seeks to retain settlement patterns, this would not do so
- The Planning Inspector in his report in 2015 disregarded this site and nothing has changed since that date
- The application would encroach on the open land between Horsham and Southwater
- The development is high in density with in excess of 100 habitable rooms per acre
- There continues to be a risk of flooding to the area as experience only a few years previous

Members had a short discussion in relation to the points raised about future further encroachment to the east and west of the site this application was on the edge of Southwater and not an extension of Southwater and agreed that the site should be objected to on the grounds put forward by the Chairman.

Application	Applicant	Reason for Application	Decision by Planning Committee
DC/18/0944 Graham Watkins	Thakeham Homes Ltd Land at Grid Reference 516346 129774 Tower Hill Horsham West Sussex	Erection of 90 dwellings to include 58 private units and 32 affordable units, creation of proposed vehicular access, internal roads and footpaths, car parking, sustainable drainage system, open space with associated landscaping and amenity space. https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summy&keyVal=P858LJLJ07D00	The Parish Council strongly objects to this proposal on the grounds of:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the plan was contrary to the Horsham District Planning Framework documents • Was outside the built up area of Southwater and outside the Horsham built up area • The plan was for mostly flats 35% being affordable • Horsham District Council currently have a five-year land supply • The HDC SHEELA classified the site as available, it was not considered developable this being similar to the Wates site in Southwater which was latterly developed • Is contrary to the fact that the HDPF seeks to retain settlement patterns, this would not do so • The Planning Inspector in his report in 2015 disregarded this site and nothing has changed since that date • The application would encroach on the open land between Horsham and Southwater • The development is high in density with in excess of 100 habitable rooms per acre • There continues to be a risk of flooding to the area as experience only a few years previous
DC/18/0823 Geoff Cole	Shell Shell Shell Hop Oast Service Station Worthing Road Horsham West Sussex RH13 0AR	Demolition of existing petrol filling station and exhumation of existing below ground fuel storage tanks. Redevelopment to include new double skin below ground fuel storage tanks, new single storey sales building, car re-fuelling forecourt with steel framed canopy over and separate	No objection

		HGV r-fuelling forecourt with steel framed canopy over. Reinstatement of above ground LPG storage tank. https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P7C4DPLJLXU00	
DC/18/0914 Derek Moore	Mr and Mrs D Rose 5 Abbots Leigh Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9HX	Erection of a single storey rear extension including installation of 2 roof lights and log burner flue pipe https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P8118XIJM4C00	Objection raised to the flat roof and over intensification of site
DC/18/0924 Denis Bull	Mr Justin Long 11 Fletchers Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9BE	Fell 1 x Oak Surgery 2 x Oak https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P81W1OIJ0FH00	No objection to surgery but would refer to the District Council's Tree Officer, Will Jones regarding the felling of one oak
DC/18/0929 Joy Hutchings	Ashley Renton 9 Turners Close Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9LJ	Erection of a single storey side extension. https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P83FPNJJM5000	No objection subject to the unrestricted rear access to the property
DC/18/0932 Denis Bull	Mrs Sarah Shaw 159 Woodlands Way Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9DS	Fell 1 x Oak https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P83IW8IJ0FH00	No objection
DC/18/0987 Ross Dye	Mr and Mrs Hunt 11 Larkspur Way Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9GR	Conversion of existing integral garage including installation of larger rear patio door and front window. https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/caseDetails.do?action=dispatch&keyVal=P8I69OIJM8L00&caseType=Application	No objection but the Planning Authority/Applicant should check that there is no exclusion within the original planning application preventing such conversion
DC/18/0988 Denis Bull	Mr Gary O'Brien 7 Lakeside Drive Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9TR	Surgery to 1 x Oak https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P8I69WIJM8M00	No objection 20%
DC/18/1005 Graham Watkins	Mr and Mrs Caroline and Rod Mason Willow Cottage Salisbury Road Horsham West Sussex RH13 0AL	Erection of first floor extension over existing garage including installation of a front dormer window and rear roof light. Erection of front porch extension. https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P8KHMQLJM9I00	No objection provided that the garage complies with the District Council's regulations e.g., 3Mx6M
DC/18/1019 Ross Dye	Mrs Caroline Smart Raffles Swallow Field Copse Southwater Street Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9AZ	Proposed garage conversion into habitable living space and laying of patio area to the rear. https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P8Q6ASIJ02X00	No objection but the Planning Authority/Applicant should check that there is no exclusion within the original planning application preventing such conversion
DC/18/1025 Barbara Varley	Mr Phil Tyrrell 40 Quarry Way Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9SU	Application to confirm that conversion of integral garage to habitable space complies with the General Permitted Development Order 2015. (Certificate of Lawful Development - existing). https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P8RL73IJMB100	No objection but the Planning Authority/Applicant should check that there is no exclusion within the original planning application preventing such conversion

DC/18/1027 Geoff Cole	Mr Nicholas Arch Lenoch Coltstaple Lane Horsham West Sussex RH13 9BB	Erection of a link extension to the South East elevation of main dwelling and connecting to the rear outbuilding. Conversion of rear outbuilding into habitable living area. https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P8RNZHIJM B400	No objection
DC/18/1053 Geoff Cole	Mr James Brown 4 Winnet Way Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9TB	Removal of existing rear conservatory and garage lean to. Erection of part single storey part two storey rear extension, first floor over existing side garage and conversion of garage to habitable space. https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P8VIVUIJM CC00	No objection
DC/18/1060 Denis Bull	Mr Ayling 7 Beechwood Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9JU	Surgery 1 x Oak https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P8XAS5IJM CT00	No objection 20%
DC/18/1061 Denis Bull	Mr Bennett 11 Beechwood Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9JU	Surgery 1 x Oak https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P8XASAIJM CU00	No objection 20%
DC/18/1068 Barbara Varley	Carol Dinnage 1 Wild Orchid Way Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9GA	Erection of a rear garden room. https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P912T0IJMD N00	No objection
DC/18/1070 Michael Neale	Mr and Mrs A and P Law 22 Crockhurst Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9XA	Erection of a part two storey and part single storey side extension. https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P92ME4IJM DV00	Objection as this proposal has no rear access and would create a terracing affect
DC/18/1077 Ross Dye	Mr Toms 1 Woodpeckers Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9AA	Retrospective application for a loft conversion featuring the installation of a single flat roof rear dormer and 2x rooflights within front roofslope https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P93341IJME 700	Objection on the grounds that this application would alter the street scene
DC/18/1096 Michael Neale	Miss L Kindersley Fairhaven Salisbury Road Horsham West Sussex RH13 0AJ	Removal of two rear facing dormers and installation of one rear facing dormer. https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P96H8WIJM F700	Objection on the grounds that the proposal has a flat roof which is contrary to the Parish Design Statement
DC/18/1102 Geoff Cole	Mr and Mrs Morgan 16 Nyes Lane Southwater Horsham West Sussex RH13 9GP	Prior approval for the erection of a single storey rear extension, which would extend beyond the rear wall of the original house by 3.35, for which the maximum height would be 3.55, and for which the height of the eaves would be 2.45 https://public-access.horsham.gov.uk/public-access/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=externalDocuments&keyVal=P984JJJ02X 00	No objection however it was noted that there is a dormer and the planning history does not indicate whether this should also require planning permission

P37/06/18 PLANNING APPEALS
The Clerk had nothing to report.

Councillors NOTED the information.

P38/06/18 DISTRICT COUNCIL DECISIONS
The Clerk provided Members with a list dated 6th June, which indicated the planning decisions taking by the District Council highlighting those which were differing from that of the Parish Council.

Councillors NOTED the information provided.

P39/06/18 PLANNING COMPLIANCE ACTION
20 Abbots Leigh, Southwater, RH13 9HX - enforcement action requested in relation to the Wildlife Buffer Zone contained in the Section 106 Agreement. The Chairman indicated that he had reported this as an individual to enforcement but that Council should be aware.

Members NOTED the information.

P40/06/18 DATE OF NEXT MEETING: Wednesday 4th July 2018.

The meeting finished at 9.20 pm